Tetrahedron Letters,Vo1.30,No.49,pp 6887-6890,1989 0040-4039189 \$3.00 + .oo Printed in Great Britain

RHODIUM(I)-CATALYZED ISOMERIZATION OF SILOXYCYCLOPROPANES LEADING TO ENOL SILYL ETHERS AND ALLYL SILYL ETHERS

Kiyoshi Ikura, Ilhyong Ryu,* Akiya Ogawa, **Nobuaki Kambe, and Noboru Sonoda*** *Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan*

Summary: The isomerization of siloxycyclopropanes to enol silyl ethers and allyl silyl ethers was *accomplished by using [Rh(CO)* $_2$ *Cl]₂ as a catalyst. Regiochemistry with respect to the newly formed double bond of the product was highly dependent on the substitution pattern of cyclopropane ring.*

In our continuous studies concerning siloxycyclopropane 1,¹ we have revealed that two major reaction courses are available for metal-induced cleavages of 1: (i) formation of β -metallo ketone 3^2 and (ii) isomerization to allylic ether 4.3 Both processes are accounted for by assuming the electrophilic ring opening of 1 by metal ion to give an ionic intermediate 2, followed by desilylation and 1,2-hydrogen shift, respectively. Although the transition-metal promoted ring opening of strained cyclopropanes has become of considerable interest in recent years,4 examples of the reaction have been confined to cyclopropanes without electron donating substituents. Particularly intrigued by the possibility of the ring opening of siloxycyclopropane 1 with transition-metal complexes, we have examined the reaction of **1 with** rhodium complexes.5 Herein we report the Rh(l)-catalyzed isomerization of **1** to ally1 silyl ether 4 and enol silyl ether 5.

6888

We first attempted the stoichiometric reaction of 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopropane (1a) with $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$. The reaction did not afford any isolable rhodium complexes containing a fragment arising from 1a, but isomerization of 1a leading to 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)propene (5a) took place. For example, treatment of 1a (0.2 mmol) with $[Rh(CO)₂C]$ ₂ (0.1 mmol) in CDCl₃ at 55^oC for 12 h gave 5a (46%), together with hydrolyzed propiophenone (51%). Although the reaction also proceeded with a catalytic amount of rhodium complex, hydrolysis to propiophenone became more serious. This undesirable side reaction was circumvented by using t-BuMe₂Si derivative 1b in place of 1a. Thus, the catalytic isomerization of 1b to enol silyl ether 5b was well performed by using 2.5~5 mol% of $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$.⁶

Isomerization of various siloxycyclopropanes 1 was usually carried out in sealed tubes without solvent (Table I). 2-Alkyl substituted siloxycyclopropanes 1e and 1f underwent regioselective ring opening to give enol silyl ethers 5e and 5f, respectively. The latter reaction also afforded allyl silyl ether 4f as a minor product. With the exception of the bicyclic siloxycyclopropane 1g, which gave small amounts of ringexpanded products $7g$ and $8g$,^{7} the ring opening took place regioselectively between the peripheral and the siloxy carbons. Interestingly, isomerization of 1h bearing a six-membered ring led only to allyl silyl ether 4h with no other double bond isomers detectable. 8

In order to obtain further insight into the mechanism, the Rh-catalyzed isomerization of $1h-d_2$ was carried out. The isotopic composition of isomerized allylic ethers was determined by GC-MS to be 90% d_2 , 10% d_1 , 0% d_0 . The location of two deuteriums in d_2 product was exocyclic methylene carbon as depicted in 4h-d₂ (¹H NMR). A similar experiment with 1e-d₂ afforded 5e-d₂ with ~100% d₂ content. When isomerization of $1h-d_2$ was carried out in the presence of 2-methyl-1-(siloxy)cyclohexene 5h, no contamination with d_0 product 4h was observed, while 5h remained unreacted. We concluded, accordingly, that allylic ether 4h was not formed by the second isomerization from initially formed enol silyl ether 5h.⁹

The above results lend support to the following pathway (Scheme I). The first step is probably an oxidative addition leading to rhodacyclobutane 9 across the peripheral bond of cyclopropane adjacent to siloxy

entry	substrate	temp(°C), time(h)		$(E/Z, yield(*))^{b}$ product
1	t-BuMe ₂ SIQ Ph' 1 b	70,	6	t-BuMe ₂ SIO (52/48, 90) Ph 5b
2°	t-BuMe ₂ SiQ 1 C	62, 27		t-BuMe ₂ SiO (80/20, 70) 5c
3	t-BuMe ₂ SiQ 1d	90, 27		t-BuMe ₂ SIO (70/30, 63) 5d
4	t-BuMe ₂ SiQ H $1e^d$	90, 27		t-BuMe ₂ SiO (58/42, 71) н 5e
5	t-BuMe ₂ SIO 1f ^e	120, 45		t-BuMe ₂ SiO t-BuMe ₂ SiO 4f(3) 5f (65/35, 55)
6	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	90, 72 1 _g		t-BuMe ₂ SIO t-BuMe ₂ SiO t-BuMe ₂ SiO 6g(7) 4g(57) 5g(15) t-BuMe ₂ SIO t-BuMe ₂ SiO
				7g(1) 8g(7)
7	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	90, 40 1 _h		t-BuMe ₂ SIO t-BuMe ₂ SiO 4h (69) $5h(-)$
\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	100, 42 1 i		t-BuMe ₂ SIO t-BuMe ₂ SiO 5i(27) 4i(21)

 $Rh(I)-Catalyzed$ Isomerization of Siloxycyclopropanes 1^a Table I

a) Conducted in sealed tubes with 5mol% of $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$. b) Determined by GC and/or ¹H NMR. c) CHCl₃ reflux. d) E/Z=64/36. e) E/Z=64/36. f) Starting material 1i was recovered (38%).

group.¹⁰ B-Hydrogen abstraction from 9 gives π -allyl rhodium hydride 10. Reductive elimination from 10 at C_1 and C_3 carbons produces π -complexes 12 and 11, respectively. The selectivity of 5 and 4 would be concerned with the relative kinetic stability of these π -complexes, ¹¹ although we have not probed for direct evidence on this point.

Scheme I

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid from Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan. We thank Professors S. Murai and H. Kurosawa for helpful discussion.

References and Notes

- (1) For a review, see: Murai, S.; Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N. *J. Organomet.* Chem. 1983,250, 121.
- (2) For a recent work, see: Ryu, I.; Suzuki, H.; Ogawa, A.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988,29, 6137.**
- **(3)** Some siloxycyclopropanes 1 underwent ZnI₂-promoted isomerization to allyl silyl ethers 4, see: (a) Ryu, I.; Aya, T.; Otani, S.; Sonoda, N. *J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 321. 279.* (b) Murai, S.; Aya, T.; Renge, T.; Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 859. (c) Ryu, I.; Murai, S.; Otani, S.; Sonoda, N. *Tetrahedron Len. 1977, 1975.* (d) Ryu, I.; Murai, S.; Sonoda, N. *Ibid.* **1977, 4611; 1978, 856. (e)** Bartels, K.; Hopf, H. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl. 1984,23,* 251.
- **(4)** For reviews, see: (a) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. 1985,85, 245. (b) Sarel, S. *Act.* Chem. Res. 1977,11,204. (c) Bishop III, K. C. *Chem. Rev.* **1976,76, 461.**
- **(51** For Rh(1) catalyzed isomerization of highly strained compounds, see: (a) Gassman, P. G.; Bonser, S. M.; M, -Majerski, K. *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1989, 111, 2652.* (b) Wiberg, K. B.; Bishop III, K. C. *Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 2727. (c)* Chum, S.; Roth, J. A. *J. Catal. 1975,39, 198.* (h) Katz, T. J.; Cerefice, S. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1971; 93, 1049. (d) Hidai, M.; Orisaku, M.; Uchida, Y. Chem. *Lett. 1980, 753.*
- **(6)** Wilkinson's catalyst ((PPh₃)₃RhCl) was virtually inactive under comparable conditions.
- **(7)** The formation of endo olefin 6g seems rather queer in light of the mechanism shown in Scheme I. For the pathway to 6g the possibility of the acid-catalyzed isomerization from 4g remains unexcluded.0
- **(8)** The reaction sequence is formally identical with previously reported isomerization by ZnI₂, see: ref 3.
- **(9)** Metal catalyzed isomerization of ally1 silyl ether to enol silyl ether has been known, see: Suzuki, H.; Koyama, Y.; Moro-oka, Y.; Ikawa, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 1415.
- **(10)** Formation of rhodacyclobutanes is well precedented, see: (a) McQuillin, F. J.; Powell, K. C. *J. Chem. Sot., Dalfon Trans. 1972, 2129.* (b) Roundhill, D. M.; Lawson, D. N.; Wilkinson, G. *J.* Chem. Sot. *(A),* 1968, 845. (c) Johnson, T. H.; Baldwin, T. J. Org. Chem. 1980,45, 140. (d) Perina, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, 106, 7272.
- (11) Judging from the absence of positional scrambling for deuterium in d_2 product, an equilibrium among 10, **11,** and 12 would be excluded.

(Received in Japan 26 August 1989)