Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 30, No. 49, pp 6887-6890, 1989 Printed in Great Britain

RHODIUM(I)-CATALYZED ISOMERIZATION OF SILOXYCYCLOPROPANES LEADING TO ENOL SILYL ETHERS AND ALLYL SILYL ETHERS

Kiyoshi Ikura, Ilhyong Ryu,* Akiya Ogawa, Nobuaki Kambe, and Noboru Sonoda* Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan

Summary: The isomerization of siloxycyclopropanes to enol silyl ethers and allyl silyl ethers was accomplished by using $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ as a catalyst. Regiochemistry with respect to the newly formed double bond of the product was highly dependent on the substitution pattern of cyclopropane ring.

In our continuous studies concerning siloxycyclopropane $1,^1$ we have revealed that two major reaction courses are available for metal-induced cleavages of 1: (i) formation of β -metallo ketone 3^2 and (ii) isomerization to allylic ether $4.^3$ Both processes are accounted for by assuming the electrophilic ring opening of 1 by metal ion to give an ionic intermediate 2, followed by desilylation and 1,2-hydrogen shift, respectively. Although the transition-metal promoted ring opening of strained cyclopropanes has become of considerable interest in recent years,⁴ examples of the reaction have been confined to cyclopropanes without electron donating substituents. Particularly intrigued by the possibility of the ring opening of siloxycyclopropane 1 with transition-metal complexes, we have examined the reaction of 1 with rhodium complexes.⁵ Herein we report the Rh(I)-catalyzed isomerization of 1 to allyl silyl ether 4 and enol silyl ether 5.



6888

We first attempted the stoichiometric reaction of 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopropane (1a) with $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$. The reaction did not afford any isolable rhodium complexes containing a fragment arising from 1a, but isomerization of 1a leading to 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)propene (5a) took place. For example, treatment of 1a (0.2 mmol) with $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ (0.1 mmol) in CDCl₃ at 55°C for 12 h gave 5a (46%), together with hydrolyzed propiophenone (51%). Although the reaction also proceeded with a catalytic amount of rhodium complex, hydrolysis to propiophenone became more serious. This undesirable side reaction was circumvented by using t-BuMe₂Si derivative 1b in place of 1a. Thus, the catalytic isomerization of 1b to enol silyl ether 5b was well performed by using 2.5~5 mol% of $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2.6$



Isomerization of various siloxycyclopropanes 1 was usually carried out in sealed tubes without solvent (Table I). 2-Alkyl substituted siloxycyclopropanes 1e and 1f underwent regioselective ring opening to give enol silyl ethers 5e and 5f, respectively. The latter reaction also afforded allyl silyl ether 4f as a minor product. With the exception of the bicyclic siloxycyclopropane 1g, which gave small amounts of ring-expanded products 7g and 8g,⁷ the ring opening took place regioselectively between the peripheral and the siloxy carbons. Interestingly, isomerization of 1h bearing a six-membered ring led only to allyl silyl ether 4h with no other double bond isomers detectable.⁸

In order to obtain further insight into the mechanism, the Rh-catalyzed isomerization of $1h-d_2$ was carried out. The isotopic composition of isomerized allylic ethers was determined by GC-MS to be 90% d_2 , 10% d_1 , 0% d_0 . The location of two deuteriums in d_2 product was exocyclic methylene carbon as depicted in $4h-d_2$ (¹H NMR). A similar experiment with $1e-d_2$ afforded $5e-d_2$ with ~100% d_2 content. When isomerization of $1h-d_2$ was carried out in the presence of 2-methyl-1-(siloxy)cyclohexene 5h, no contamination with d_0 product 4h was observed, while 5h remained unreacted. We concluded, accordingly, that allylic ether 4h was not formed by the second isomerization from initially formed enol silyl ether 5h.⁹



The above results lend support to the following pathway (Scheme I). The first step is probably an oxidative addition leading to rhodacyclobutane 9 across the peripheral bond of cyclopropane adjacent to siloxy

entry	substrate tem	p(°C), time(h)	product (E/Z, yield(%)) ^b
1	t-BuMe ₂ SiO Ph 1 b	70, 6	t-BuMe ₂ SIO Ph 5 b (52/48, 90)
2 ^c	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	62, 27	t-BuMe ₂ SiO (80/20, 70) 5c
3	t-BuMe ₂ SIO	90, 27	t-BuMe ₂ SiO 5d (70/30, 63)
4	t-BuMe ₂ SiO H 1e ^d	90, 27	t-BuMe ₂ SIO H $5e$ (58/42, 71)
5	t-BuMe ₂ SIO	120, 45	t-BuMe ₂ SiO t-BuMe ₂ SiO $4 f (3)$ 5 f (65/35, 55)
6	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	90, 72	t-BuMe ₂ SIO t-Bu
7	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	90, 40	t-BuMe ₂ SiO t-BuMe ₂ SiO $4h(69)$ 5h(-)
8 [†]	t-BuMe ₂ SiO	100, 42	t-BuMe ₂ SiO t-BuM

Table I. Rh(I)-Catalyzed Isomerization of Siloxycyclopropanes 1^a

a) Conducted in sealed tubes with 5mol% of $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$. b) Determined by GC and/or ¹H NMR. c) CHCl₃ reflux. d) E/Z=64/36. e) E/Z=64/36. f) Starting material 1i was recovered (38%). group.¹⁰ β -Hydrogen abstraction from 9 gives π -allyl rhodium hydride 10. Reductive elimination from 10 at C₁ and C₃ carbons produces π -complexes 12 and 11, respectively. The selectivity of 5 and 4 would be concerned with the relative kinetic stability of these π -complexes,¹¹ although we have not probed for direct evidence on this point.

Scheme I



Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid from Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan. We thank Professors S. Murai and H. Kurosawa for helpful discussion.

References and Notes

- (1) For a review, see: Murai, S.; Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 250, 121.
- (2) For a recent work, see: Ryu, I.; Suzuki, H.; Ogawa, A.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6137.
- (3) Some siloxycyclopropanes 1 underwent ZnI₂-promoted isomerization to allyl silyl ethers 4, see: (a) Ryu, I.; Aya, T.; Otani, S.; Sonoda, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 321, 279. (b) Murai, S.; Aya, T.; Renge, T.; Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 859. (c) Ryu, I.; Murai, S.; Otani, S.; Sonoda, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 1975. (d) Ryu, I.; Murai, S.; Sonoda, N. Ibid. 1977, 4611; 1978, 856. (e) Bartels, K.; Hopf, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 251.
- (4) For reviews, see: (a) Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* 1985, 85, 245. (b) Sarel, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 11, 204. (c) Bishop III, K. C. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 461.
- (5) For Rh(I) catalyzed isomerization of highly strained compounds, see: (a) Gassman, P. G.; Bonser, S. M.; M, -Majerski, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2652. (b) Wiberg, K. B.; Bishop III, K. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 2727. (c) Chum, S.; Roth, J. A. J. Catal. 1975, 39, 198. (h) Katz, T. J.; Cerefice, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1049. (d) Hidai, M.; Orisaku, M.; Uchida, Y. Chem. Lett. 1980, 753.
- (6) Wilkinson's catalyst ((PPh₃)₃RhCl) was virtually inactive under comparable conditions.
- (7) The formation of endo olefin **6g** seems rather queer in light of the mechanism shown in Scheme I. For the pathway to **6g** the possibility of the acid-catalyzed isomerization from **4g** remains unexcluded.0
- (8) The reaction sequence is formally identical with previously reported isomerization by ZnI₂, see: ref 3.
- (9) Metal catalyzed isomerization of allyl silyl ether to enol silyl ether has been known, see: Suzuki, H.; Koyama, Y.; Moro-oka, Y.; Ikawa, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1415.
- (10) Formation of rhodacyclobutanes is well precedented, see: (a) McQuillin, F. J.; Powell, K. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 2129. (b) Roundhill, D. M.; Lawson, D. N.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968, 845. (c) Johnson, T. H.; Baldwin, T. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 140. (d) Perina, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7272.
- Judging from the absence of positional scrambling for deuterium in d₂ product, an equilibrium among 10, 11, and 12 would be excluded.

(Received in Japan 26 August 1989)